

## LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 28th September, 1972

No. 10805-4Lab-72/38761.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Janmat Press, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,  
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 112 of 1972

*Between*

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JANMAT PRESS,  
MATHURA ROAD, BALLABGARH

*Present :—*

Shri Khem Chand, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Surinder Kumar concerned workman was in the service of M/s Janmat Press, Mathura Road, Ballabgarh. His name was struck off the rolls by the management. He gave demand notice dated 26th June, 1971 asking for his reinstatement with back wages but without any response from the management. This gave rise to an industrial dispute.

The Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the above dispute to this court for adjudication, —*vide* order No. ID/FD/742-71/11151-55, dated 31st March, 1972, with the following term of reference:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Surinder Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference the parties were called upon to put in their respective written statements. Shri Surinder Kumar concerned workman has not turned up nor is he represented by any authorised representative. The management has pleaded that he had worked only for 1½ months and had abandoned service with effect from 15th May, 1971 of his own accord. It was further been urged that after the reference he has settled his account and received payment of his dues in full and final settlement of his entire claims. Shri R. C. Sharma authorised representative of the management has made his statement to the above effect which finds support in 2 documents, receipt Exhibit M. 1 and application Exhibit M. 2, which are both stated to be in the handwriting of Shri Surinder Kumar and signed by him. Shri Surinder Kumar has not cared to file any objection to the above plea of settlement raised on behalf of the management. He has not even appeared in person or through authorised representative to pursue his claim.

In view of the above, I am satisfied that there is no dispute between the parties and a no dispute award is accordingly made. There shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 20th September, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 2121, dated 25th September, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.